

Exap $A = C^*$ -alg, $f \in A^*$; $(e_\lambda) = \text{a.i. in } A \Rightarrow$

$\Rightarrow (e_\lambda^2)$ is an a.i. $\Rightarrow f(e_\lambda) \rightarrow \|f\|$, $f(e_\lambda^2) \rightarrow \|f\|$

$\Rightarrow \Lambda_{f_+}(e_\lambda) \rightarrow \Lambda_{f_+}(1_+) \Rightarrow H_f \xrightarrow{\sim} H_{f_+} \Rightarrow \pi_f$ is cyclic

Problem: (e_λ^2) is not necess. monotone!

Correction:

Lemma $A = C^*$ -alg, $f \in A^*$ pos., $(e_\lambda) = \text{a.i. in } A$
s.t. $e_\lambda \geq 0$, $\|e_\lambda\| \leq 1$, but is not necess. monot.

$\Rightarrow \exists \liminf f(e_\lambda) = \|f\|$.

Proof. We may assume $\|f\| = 1$.

$\forall a \in A$, $\|a\| \leq 1$, we have $0 \leq f(a^*a) \leq 1$.

$|f(e_\lambda a)|^2 \leq f(e_\lambda^2) f(a^*a) \leq f(e_\lambda)$ because $e_\lambda^2 \leq e_\lambda$.

$\Rightarrow |f(a)|^2 \leq \underline{\lim} f(e_\lambda) \leq \overline{\lim} f(e_\lambda) \leq \sup f(e_\lambda) \leq 1$.

Take $\sup_{\|a\| \leq 1}$: $\Rightarrow \exists \liminf f(e_\lambda) = 1$. \square .

Thanks Kostya!

Tensor products of C^* -algebras

We know:

① A, B $*$ -alg $\Rightarrow A \otimes B$ is a $*$ -alg;

$$(a_1 \otimes b_1)(a_2 \otimes b_2) = a_1 a_2 \otimes b_1 b_2;$$

$$(a \otimes b)^* = a^* \otimes b^*$$

② $A = C^*$ -alg; $M_n = \{n \times n\text{-matrices with entries in } \mathbb{C}\}$

$\Rightarrow M_n \otimes A$ is a C^* -alg.

Moreover: \exists a unique C^* -norm on $M_n \otimes A$.

Prop $H_1, H_2 = \text{inn. prod. spaces.} \Rightarrow$

\exists a unique inn. product on $H_1 \otimes H_2$ satisf.

$$\langle x_1 \otimes x_2 \mid y_1 \otimes y_2 \rangle = \langle x_1 \mid y_1 \rangle \langle x_2 \mid y_2 \rangle \quad (*)$$

Proof. Observe: \forall vec. sp E, F

$$\{\text{sesquilin. } E \times F \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\} \cong \{\text{bilin } E \times F^c \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\}$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{C}}(E \otimes F^c, \mathbb{C}).$$

$$(H_1 \otimes H_2) \otimes (H_1 \otimes H_2)^c \cong H_1 \otimes H_2 \otimes H_1^c \otimes H_2^c \cong$$

$$\cong (H_1 \otimes H_1^c) \otimes (H_2 \otimes H_2^c) \xrightarrow{\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_1} \otimes \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H_2}} \mathbb{C} \otimes \mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{C}.$$

$\Rightarrow \exists$ a unique sesq. form $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $H_1 \otimes H_2$ satisfying $(*)$.

$$\forall u \in H_1 \otimes H_2 \quad u = \sum_{i=1}^n y_i \otimes z_i;$$

let $\{e_1, \dots, e_m\}$ be an ON basis in $\text{span}\{z_1, \dots, z_n\}$.

$$\Rightarrow u = \sum_{i=1}^m x_i \otimes e_i \quad (x_i \in H_1)$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle u | u \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^m \|x_i\|^2 > 0 \quad \text{if } u \neq 0. \quad \square$$

Observe: $\|x \otimes y\| = \|x\| \|y\| \quad (x \in H_1, y \in H_2)$

(that is, the norm on $H_1 \otimes H_2$ is a crossnorm)

Def: $H_1, H_2 = \text{Hilb. spaces}$. Their Hilbert tensor product is the completion of $H_1 \otimes H_2$ w.r.t. $\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle$ given by (*).

Notation: $H_1 \dot{\otimes} H_2$

Exer $(H_1 \dot{\otimes} H_2, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$ is complete \Leftrightarrow

$\Leftrightarrow H_1$ or H_2 is fin-dim.

Exer. $(e_i)_{i \in I} = \text{ONB in } H_1$; $(f_j)_{j \in J} = \text{ONB in } H_2$

$\Rightarrow (e_i \otimes f_j)_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$ is an ONB of $H_1 \dot{\otimes} H_2$.

Examples / exer.

$$(1) H \dot{\otimes} \mathbb{C}^n \cong H \oplus \dots \oplus H$$

$$(2) H \dot{\otimes} \ell^2(I) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} H \cong \ell^2(I, H)$$

$$(3) L^2(X, \mu) \otimes L^2(Y, \nu) \cong L^2(X \times Y, \mu \times \nu)$$

Prop. $H_1, H_2, K_1, K_2 =$ Hilb spaces, $S \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, K_1)$,

$T \in \mathcal{B}(H_2, K_2) \Rightarrow \exists$ a unique

$S \otimes T \in \mathcal{B}(H_1 \otimes H_2, K_1 \otimes K_2)$ satisfying

$$(S \otimes T)(x \otimes y) = Sx \otimes Ty \quad (x \in H_1, y \in H_2) \quad (**)$$

Moreover, $\|S \otimes T\| = \|S\| \|T\|$.

Proof. Uniqueness: clear;

$$\|S \otimes T\| \geq \|S\| \|T\| \text{ clear.}$$

Existence: \exists a unique lin. $S \otimes T: H_1 \otimes H_2 \rightarrow K_1 \otimes K_2$ satisfying (**).

Now it suff. to show that $S \otimes T$ is bdd and that $\|S \otimes T\| \leq \|S\| \|T\|$.

We may assume that $T = I_H$ ($H =$ a Hilb. space)

(because $S \otimes T = (S \otimes I)(I \otimes T)$)

Let $u \in H_1 \otimes H$, $u = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes e_i$ ($e_1, \dots, e_n =$ ON family)

$$\Rightarrow (S \otimes I)(u) = \sum_{i=1}^n Sx_i \otimes e_i$$

$$\Rightarrow \|(S \otimes I)(u)\|^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n \|Sx_i\|^2 \leq \|S\|^2 \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 = \|S\|^2 \|u\|^2$$

$$\Rightarrow S \otimes I \text{ is bdd, } \|S \otimes I\| \leq \|S\| \quad \square$$

Prop. \exists an injective lin. map

$$\mathcal{B}(H_1, K_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H_2, K_2) \xrightarrow{\alpha} \mathcal{B}(H_1 \dot{\otimes} H_2, K_1 \dot{\otimes} K_2),$$
$$S \otimes T \mapsto S \dot{\otimes} T.$$

Proof. Let $U \in \mathcal{B}(H_1, K_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H_2, K_2)$, $\alpha(U) = 0$.

$$U = \sum_{i=1}^n S_i \otimes T_i, \quad S_1, \dots, S_n \text{ are lin indep.}$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum S_i x \otimes T_i y = 0 \quad (x \in H_1, y \in H_2)$$

$$\text{Apply } 1_{K_1} \otimes \langle \cdot | z \rangle \quad (z \in K_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow \sum \langle T_i y | z \rangle S_i x = 0 \quad (x \in H_1, y \in H_2, z \in K_2)$$

$$\text{that is, } \sum \langle T_i y | z \rangle S_i = 0 \Rightarrow$$

$$\Rightarrow \langle T_i y | z \rangle = 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, n, y \in H_2, z \in K_2)$$

$$\Rightarrow T_1 = \dots = T_n = 0 \Rightarrow U = 0. \quad \square$$

Prop. $A, B = *$ -algebras, $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1)$,

$\tau: B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_2)$ $*$ -reps. Then \exists a $*$ -rep

$\pi \dot{\otimes} \tau: A \dot{\otimes} B \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1 \dot{\otimes} H_2)$ uniquely determined

by $(\pi \dot{\otimes} \tau)(a \dot{\otimes} b) = \pi(a) \dot{\otimes} \tau(b) \quad (a \in A, b \in B)$.

Moreover: $\pi \dot{\otimes} \tau$ is faithful \Leftrightarrow

π and τ are faithful.

Proof. $A \otimes B \xrightarrow{\pi \otimes \tau} \mathcal{B}(H_1) \otimes \mathcal{B}(H_2) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_1 \dot{\otimes} H_2)$
 $\underbrace{\hspace{15em}}_{\pi \dot{\otimes} \tau} \quad \square$

Def $A, B = C^*$ -algebras. The spatial C^* -norm on $A \otimes B$ is given by $\|u\|_* = \|(\omega_A \dot{\otimes} \omega_B)(u)\|$
 (where ω_A, ω_B are the univ. reps of A, B)
 (пространственная C^* -норма)

Prop $\Rightarrow \|\cdot\|_*$ is indeed a C^* -norm.

The completion of $A \otimes B$ wrt $\|\cdot\|_*$ is denoted by $A \otimes_* B$ and is called the spatial C^* -tens. product.

Observe: $\|a \otimes b\|_* = \|a\| \|b\|$.

Thm. (Takesaki)

$\forall C^*$ -norm $\|\cdot\|$ on $A \otimes B$ we have $\|\cdot\|_* \leq \|\cdot\|$.

Notation $\otimes_* = \otimes_{\min}$ (minimal C^* -tens. prod.)

$\|\cdot\|_* = \|\cdot\|_{\min}$.

- | |
|--|
| <ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. Looks uncomputable. 2. Looks nonfunctorial |
|--|

← quasi-problems with \otimes_*

Recall: $A = C^*$ -alg, $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H)$ cyclic $*$ -rep,
 $x \in H$ cyclic; $f(a) = \langle \pi(a)x | x \rangle \Rightarrow$
 $\Rightarrow f \geq 0, \pi \cong \pi_f$.

Lemma. $\|f\| = \|x\|^2$.

In particular, $f \in S(A) \Leftrightarrow \|x\| = 1$.

Proof: CBS $\Rightarrow \|f\| \leq \|x\|^2$.

$(e_\lambda) = a.i. \text{ in } A \Rightarrow \pi(e_\lambda)x \rightarrow x \Rightarrow f(e_\lambda) \rightarrow \|x\|^2$
 $\Rightarrow \|f\| = \|x\|^2 \quad \square$.

Thm $A, B = C^*$ -algebras $\Rightarrow \forall u \in A \otimes B$

$$\|u\|_* = \sup \left\{ \|(\pi \dot{\otimes} \tau)(u)\| : \begin{array}{l} \pi = * \text{-rep of } A \\ \tau = * \text{-rep of } B \end{array} \right\}$$

Proof We want to show that

$$\|(\pi \dot{\otimes} \tau)(u)\| \leq \|(\omega_A \dot{\otimes} \omega_B)(u)\|$$

We may assume that π, τ are nondegenerate
 (because $\pi = \pi' \dot{\oplus} 0, \tau = \tau' \dot{\oplus} 0$, where π', τ'
 are nondegenerate;

$$\|(\pi \dot{\otimes} \tau)(u)\| = \|(\pi' \dot{\otimes} \tau')(u)\|$$

$$\pi \cong \dot{\bigoplus}_{i \in I} \pi_i, \quad \tau \cong \dot{\bigoplus}_{j \in J} \tau_j, \quad \pi_i, \tau_j \text{ are cyclic.}$$

Lemma $\Rightarrow \pi_i \cong \pi_{f_i}, \tau_j \cong \pi_{g_j}$, where

$$f_i \in S(A), g_j \in S(B)$$

$$\pi \dot{\otimes} \tau \cong \bigoplus_{i,j} (\pi_i \dot{\otimes} \tau_j) \quad (\text{exer.})$$

$$\|(\pi \dot{\otimes} \tau)(u)\| = \sup_{i,j} \|(\pi_i \dot{\otimes} \tau_j)(u)\| \leq$$

$$\leq \sup_{\substack{f \in S(A) \\ g \in S(B)}} \|(\pi_f \dot{\otimes} \pi_g)(u)\| = \|(\omega_A \dot{\otimes} \omega_B)(u)\| \quad \square$$

Cor. $\varphi: A_1 \rightarrow B_1, \psi: A_2 \rightarrow B_2$ $*$ -homomorphisms between C^* -alg. Then \exists a $*$ -homomorphism

$\varphi \dot{\otimes} \psi: A_1 \dot{\otimes} A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \dot{\otimes} B_2$ uniquely determined by $(\varphi \dot{\otimes} \psi)(a_1 \dot{\otimes} a_2) = \varphi(a_1) \dot{\otimes} \psi(a_2)$ (***)

Proof \exists a $*$ -homomorphism $\varphi \dot{\otimes} \psi: A_1 \dot{\otimes} A_2 \rightarrow B_1 \dot{\otimes} B_2$ uniquely determined by (***)

We want to show that $\varphi \dot{\otimes} \psi$ is bdd wrt $\|\cdot\|_*$. $\forall u \in A_1 \dot{\otimes} A_2$

$$\|(\varphi \dot{\otimes} \psi)(u)\|_* =$$

$$= \sup \left\{ \underbrace{\|(\pi \dot{\otimes} \tau)(\varphi \dot{\otimes} \psi)(u)\|}_{\|\pi \varphi \dot{\otimes} \tau \psi\|} : \begin{array}{l} \pi = * \text{-rep of } B_1 \\ \tau = * \text{-rep of } B_2 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\leq \sup \left\{ \|(\pi_1 \otimes \tau_1)(u)\| : \begin{array}{l} \pi_1 = * \text{-rep of } A_1 \\ \tau_1 = * \text{-rep of } A_2 \end{array} \right\}$$

$$= \|u\|_*. \quad \square.$$