

GNS construction

(a summary)

$A = C^* \text{-alg}, f \in A^*, f \geq 0.$

$\langle a | b \rangle = f(b^* a) \quad \text{pre-inner prod on } A$

$$\|a\|_f = f(a^* a)^{1/2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} N_f &= \{a \in A : \|a\|_f = 0\} = \{a \in A : f(ba) = 0 \ \forall b \in A\} \\ &= \{a \in A : f(a^* b) = 0 \ \forall b \in A\}. \end{aligned}$$

$\langle a + N_f | b + N_f \rangle = \langle a | b \rangle = f(b^* a) \quad \text{inn. prod. on } A/N_f.$

$$H_f^0 = (A/N_f, \langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle)$$

$$H_f^0 \xrightarrow{\pi_f^0(a)} H_f^0 \quad b + N_f \mapsto ab + N_f.$$

$$H_f \xrightarrow{\pi_f(a)} H_f \quad \pi_f : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_f) \quad \underline{\text{GNS representation}}$$

$$\Lambda_f : A \rightarrow H_f, \quad a \mapsto a + N_f. \quad (H, \pi, \Lambda)$$

- $\overline{\Lambda_f(A)} = H_f;$

- $\langle \Lambda_f a | \Lambda_f b \rangle = f(b^* a)$

- $\pi_f(a) \Lambda_f(b) = \Lambda_f(ab)$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H & \xrightarrow{\sim} & H_f \\ \uparrow \Lambda & & \uparrow \Lambda_f \\ A & & \end{array}$$

The universal representation.

The 2nd Gelfand-Naimark Thm.

1 Summable families

X = normed sp; I = a set; $(x_i)_{i \in I}$ a family of elements of X .

$$\sum_{i \in I} x_i = ?$$

$$\text{Fin}(I) = \{J \subset I : J \text{ is finite}\}.$$

$(\text{Fin}(I), \subseteq)$ is a dir. poset.

$$\forall J \in \text{Fin}(I) \quad x_J = \sum_{i \in J} x_i$$

Def. (x_i) is summable \iff the net $(x_J)_{J \in \text{Fin}(I)}$

$$\text{converges in } X. \quad \sum_{i \in I} x_i = \lim_{\text{Fin}(I)} x_J.$$

Exer. $\sum_{i \in I} x_i = x \in X \iff$

(1) $I_0 = \{i \in I : x_i \neq 0\}$ is at most countable;

(2) If I_0 is finite, then $\sum_{i \in I_0} x_i = x$, and if I_0 is

infinite, then \forall bij. $\varphi: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow I_0$ the series

$$\sum_n x_{\varphi(n)} \text{ converges to } x.$$

2. Hilbert direct sums

$\{H_i : i \in I\}$ a family of Hilbert spaces.

$$H = \left\{ x = (x_i) \in \prod_{i \in I} H_i : \sum_{i \in I} \|x_i\|^2 < \infty \right\}.$$

Exer (1) H is a vec. subspace of $\prod_{i \in I} H_i$

(2) H is a Hilb. space w.r.t. $\langle x | y \rangle = \sum_{i \in I} \langle x_i | y_i \rangle$

Def H is the Hilbert direct sum of $(H_i)_{i \in I}$:

$$H = \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i.$$

Prop. $A = \text{Banach } *-\text{alg}$, $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ a family of $*$ -modules over A . Then $\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$ is a $*$ -module over A w.r.t.

$$a \cdot (x_i)_{i \in I} = (ax_i)_{i \in I}.$$

Proof. $\|ax_i\| \leq \|a\| \|x_i\| \forall i \Rightarrow a \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$
 $(\forall a \in A, x \in \bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i)$. \square .

Notation. $\{\pi_i : A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(H_i)\}_{i \in I}$ a family of $*$ -reps of A . The $*$ -rep of A assoc. to $\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i$ is called the Hilb. dir. sum of $\{\pi_i\}_{i \in I}$ and is denoted by $\bigoplus_{i \in I} \pi_i$.

3. The universal representation.

$$A = C^* \text{-alg.}$$

Def The universal representation of A

is $\omega_A = \bigoplus_{f \in S(A)} \pi_f$.

Thm. (2nd Gelfand-Naimark thm)

Every C^* -alg has a faithful (=isometric) *-representation. Specifically, ω_A is faithful.

Lemma. $\forall a \in A \exists f \in S(A)$ st. $\|\pi_f(a)\| = \|a\|$.

Proof. Assume $a \neq 0$.

$$\exists f \in S(A) \text{ s.t. } f((aa^*)^2) = \|(aa^*)^2\|.$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|a\|^4 &= \|a a^*\|^2 = \|(aa^*)^2\| = f((aa^*)^2) = \\ &= \|\Lambda_f(aa^*)\|^2 = \|\pi_f(a) \Lambda_f(a^*)\|^2 \leq \\ &\leq \|\pi_f(a)\|^2 f(aa^*) \leq \|\pi_f(a)\|^2 \|a\|^2. \Rightarrow \\ &\Rightarrow \|\pi_f(a)\| \geq \|a\|. \quad \square. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Thm.

$$\|a\| \stackrel{(L.)}{\leq} \sup_{f \in S(A)} \|\pi_f(a)\| = \|\omega_A(a)\| \leq \|a\|. \quad \square$$

Exer. $A = \text{separable } C^*\text{-alg}$. Then

- (1) $\forall f \in A^*, f \geq 0, H_f$ is separable.
- (2) $\exists f \in S(A)$ s.t. π_f is faithful.

Nondegenerate reps, cyclic reps,
GNS reps.

$A = C^*\text{-alg}; H = *$ -module over A .

$AH = \text{span}\{ax \mid a \in A, x \in H\} \subset H$ submodule.

Def. H is essential (nondegenerate) \iff
 $\iff \overline{AH} = H$.

$H_{\text{ess}} = \overline{AH}$ is the essential part of H .

Prop. H_{ess} is a closed essential submodule of H , and the action of A on H_{ess}^\perp is trivial (i.e., $ax=0 \quad \forall a \in A, \forall x \in H_{\text{ess}}^\perp$).

Proof. A has an a.i. $\Rightarrow A = \overline{A^2} = \overline{\text{span}}\{ab : a, b \in A\}$.

$$\Rightarrow \overline{AH_{\text{ess}}} = \overline{A\overline{AH}} = \overline{AAH} = \overline{\overline{AA}H} = \overline{AH} = H_{\text{ess}}.$$

$\forall a \in A, \forall x \in H_{\text{ess}}^\perp$

$$\langle ax | ax \rangle = \langle x | \underbrace{a^* a}_{\in H_{\text{ess}}} x \rangle = 0 \Rightarrow ax = 0. \quad \square.$$

Def. The cyclic submodule of H generated by $x \in H$ is $\overline{Ax} = \overline{\{ax : a \in A\}}$.

This is a closed submodule of H .

Prop. $A = C^*\text{-alg}$, (e_λ) an a.i. in A , $H = *$ -mod over A . Then $H_{\text{ess}} = \{x \in H : x = \lim_\lambda e_\lambda x\}$.

Lemma. E, F = Banach spaces; (T_λ) = a bdd net in $\mathcal{B}(E, F)$; $M \subseteq E$ total subset (i.e., $\overline{\text{span}(M)} = E$). Suppose $\forall x \in M$ $\exists \lim_\lambda T_\lambda x \in F$. Then $\exists T \in \mathcal{B}(E, F)$ s.t. $\forall x \in E \quad T_\lambda x \rightarrow Tx$.

Proof: exer.

Proof of Prop. (\supset) clear.

(\subset): $x = \lim_\lambda e_\lambda x$ holds $\forall x = ay$ ($a \in A, y \in H$)
By Lemma, the same holds $\forall x \in H_{\text{ess}}$. \square .

Cor. If $x \in H_{\text{ess}}$, then $x \in \overline{Ax}$.

Proof. $x = \lim_\lambda e_\lambda x \in \overline{Ax}$. \square .

Exer. $H = \ast\text{-mod over } A$ ($A = \text{Ban } \ast\text{-alg}$);
 $\{H_i\}$ = a family of closed pairwise orth. submodules of H . Then \exists a unitary isom of A -modules

$$\dot{\bigoplus}_{i \in I} H_i \rightarrow \overline{\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i} \subset H, (x_i)_{i \in I} \mapsto \sum_{i \in I} x_i$$

If $\overline{\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i} = H$, then we say that H is the Hilb. dir. sum of $\{H_i\}$.

Thm. $A = C^*\text{-alg}$, $H = \text{a nondegenerate } \ast\text{-module over } A$. Then \exists a family $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ of closed pairwise orth cyclic submodules of H s.t. $H = \dot{\bigoplus}_{i \in I} H_i$

Proof $M = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{families of closed, pairwise orth} \\ \text{nonzero cyclic submod of } H \end{array} \right\}$

(M, \subset) satisfies the conditions of Zorn's lemma.

Let $\{H_i : i \in I\}$ be a max. element of M ;

$$H_0 = \overline{\bigoplus_{i \in I} H_i}. \quad H = H_0 \oplus H_0^\perp$$

Suppose $H_0^\perp \neq 0$. Take any $x \in H_0^\perp, x \neq 0$.

$\{H_i : i \in I\} \cup \{\overline{A}\overline{x}\} \in M$, a contradiction.

$$\xleftarrow[\overline{x}]{} \implies H_0^\perp = 0. \quad \square.$$